RFP NOTICE NO: ASTEC/ARSAC-1858/2018/2019-20/1219 Rescheduled notice number: (ASTEC/ARSAC-1858/2018/2019-20/1219/3648 Dated: 24/12/2019)

Following are the resolutions considered during the pre-bid meeting held at Assam Science Technology and Environment Council (ASTEC) premises on 21/08/19 against the above referenced RFP.

- 1. Participating bidders registered with NSIC or under MSME/UAM (Udyog Aadhaar Memorandum) will be exempted from EMD/Tender fee subject to submission of supporting documents, as is applicable. However, selected bidder will have to abide by the submission of 10% Security Deposit of the total work value on subject to allotment of work order.
- 2. Bidders are required to submit an ISO 9001:2015 quality certificate along with the list of other documents referenced in the eligibility section.
- 3. Date for submission of Bid Documents has been extended **to 30/12/2019 by 2:00 pm** and opening of the same has been scheduled for the same day at **3 pm** with Prototype Demonstration.
- 4. Selected bidder will have to submit 2nos. of hard copy and a Digital document for each of the user manual and operational handbook post work deployment.
- 5. Tender Committee resolved that comparative statement will be considered/calculated based on the rating of Technical Evaluation Matrix and Financial Matrix. In this context it may be noted the ratio between Technical & Financial Bid is 70:30, respectively.
- 6. Objectives Section, Clause 1(Page no. 3), an additional theme will be provided i.e. Ground Water Quality Layer.
- 7. Bidders need to have expertise in handling json files. A dataset (geo-tagged data) in json file format will be provided for integration and display of the same in portal is expected.
- 8. Deliverables Section, Clause 3(Page no. 8). The above application has to be developed and delivered within 3 months from the date of commencement of work (on-site / offsite). Bidders need to provide 2-year support after commissioning of the project.

9. The Clause No. 13 i.e. **Evaluation of Proposal** has been amended by the Tender Committee as follows:

13. EVALUATION OF PROPOSAL

Tenders will be evaluated based on the following phases:

Phase I: Evaluation of Technical Bid Phase II: Evaluation of Financial Bid Phase III: Combined Evaluation of Technical & Financial Bid

Phase I: Evaluation of Technical Criteria

i) In this part the technical bid of agencies will be analysed and evaluated on the following criteria:

SI. No.	Technical Criteria				Marks Obtained	Total Marks	Minimum Qualifying Marks**
1	Experience of the Bidder in the development of WEB GIS platforms for Govt./PSUs/autonomous bodies/Authority/Private firms.	Count	Work V	V alue (Rs.)		10	
	a) Completion of One Project with completion Certificate						10
	b) Completion of more than One Project with completion Certificate*					10	
2	RFP Specification					20	
3	Any other Advanced Specification	Migration/Po rtability (√)	Service period ()	Free Web Hosting $()^{***}$		20	20
	In open Source Platform						
4	Prototype Demonstration or Live Demo of Homepage & WEB GIS framework along-with architecture					20	10
5	Work Plan and Methodology for implementing the work	WEB GIS expertise $()$	Onsite (√)	Offsite (√)		20	10

N.B: * *Marking pattern against Clause 1. (b) will be considered as under:*

 Projects delivered in the range of 2 – 5nos. with completion certificate will carry 5 marks.

• More than 6nos. of projects delivered with completion certificate will carry 10 marks.

** Bidders need to score minimum qualifying marks for each technical criterion, as above.

*** Free Web hosting (complete solution) within AMC period. Weightage/marks will be given to bidders offering 1year of free web hosting services. Migration, post free web hosting period to local servers at ASTEC will be the sole responsibility of the vendor. ii)Bidders failing to meet the technical criteria by scoring less than 50 marks shall not be considered for Financial Bid.

- iii) Analysis of qualification and technical bid: -
 - 1. In this part, the bid will be analysed and evaluated and the bid marks (S_{tm}) shall be assigned to each bid on the basis of evaluation matrix.
 - 2. Each competency group will have Minimum Qualification Score and only those Bids receiving marks greater than or equal to cut-off marks in each competency group will be eligible for consideration in financial bids. If required, the Authority may seek specific clarifications from any or all Tenderer(s) at this stage. The Authority shall determine the Tenderer that qualify for the next phase after reviewing the clarifications provided by the Tenderer(s).
 - 3. Bid Score: The Bid Score ' \mathbf{S}_t ' of the Tenderer shall be derived as under:

St = (Stm/SH), where St is the Bid Score Stm = Total bid marks of the bid under consideration SH = Highest total bid marks amongst all evaluated bids

iv) The Authority reserves the right to modify the evaluation process at any time during the Tender process, without assigning any reason, whatsoever, and without any requirement of intimating the Tenderer of any such change. At any time during the process of evaluation the Authority may seek specific clarifications from any or all Tenderer.

Phase II: Evaluation of Financial Bid

i) In this phase, the Financial Bids of the Agencies who qualify the technical bid in Phase I shall be opened. Formula to determine the scores for the Financial Bids shall be as follows

$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{f}} = (\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathbf{F}),$

Where

S_f is the Financial Score

FL is the value of lowest Commercial Bid

F is the price quoted in the bid under consideration

Phase III: Evaluation of combined Qualification and Technical & Financial Bid

i. The Total score of the Tenderer will be determined as under:

Total Score $(T_s) = (70 \times S_t) + (30 \times S_f)$

- The Bid of the Tenderer, who obtains the highest T_s value, will be rated as the best Bid. In the event of a tie, the bid with the highest technical score (St) will be rated as the best bid. Beyond that, Authority will decide the matter in its full discretion.
- iii. The Authority will award the Contract to the successful Tenderer whose bid has been determined to be substantially responsive and has been determined as the best bid, provided further that the Tenderer is determined to be qualified to perform the Contract satisfactorily. The Authority shall however not bind itself to accept the best bid or any bid and reserves the right to accept any bid, wholly or in part.